

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,378	11/23/2004	Georg Lutter	903.0002	6218	
25534 CAHN & SAM	7590 03/21/2007 IUELS LLP		EXAMINER		
2000 P STREET NW			мента,	MEHTA, BHISMA	
SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	3767		
•				·	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(	X	
*	Y	
<u> </u>	₩.	_

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/510,378	LUTTER, GEORG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bhisma Mehta	3767		

	Bhisma Mehta	1	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov	er sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	•		=	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day wing replies: (1) tice of Appeal (	as filing a Notice of an amendment, aff with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, o	r'(2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHEC		-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the c shortened statuto than three montl	orresponding amount ry period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	late extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (3	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further contains the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for app			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding i	number of finally rej	ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.135. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		d Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	lowable if subm	itted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				٠
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rej	ections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status o	of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT pla	ce the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Pa			
			TENT EXAMINER	•
Alusina Mell 3/15/07	,	Kevin C. Se	rmm	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13. Other: The limitation of at least one passage being outside of the perfusion catheter would require further consideration and/or search.